# Enhancement of Gross Enrollment Ratio of Higher Education of West Bengal through e-Learning: A Fuzzy Delphi Forecasting Approach 

Anirban Das, Member, IACSIT, Soumen Nandi, and Kajla Basu


#### Abstract

E-learning has now revolutionized the way of learning process across the world. Following the conventional systems of learning, the Gross Enrollment Ratio (GER) of West Bengal is not at all optimistic. To enhance the enrollments and diminish the drop outs in higher education in West Bengal, e-learning can be a better way out. In this paper an attempt has been made to forecast the increase in higher education enrollments and decrease in drop outs in higher education. Through Fuzzy Delphi Forecasting techniques views are collected from experts regarding the increase of higher education enrollments and decrease of drop outs through web enabled learning systems in comparison to the traditional learning systems.


Index Terms-Drop outs, e-learning, enrollment, Fuzzy Delphi Forecasting, GER

## I. Introduction

Regarding higher education in West Bengal the most miserably significant information is that only $26.33 \%$ is the gross enrollment ratio. Though a couple of reasons like poverty, distance from home to higher education institutes, bad transportation system, expensive higher education, less seats in higher education institutes, mediocre students are not getting their subjects of interest, engagements with family occupation, being one of the earning members of the family etc.[1] are responsible for these wretched situation, e-learning can be a best way out to make the drop outs or going to be dropped out students interested in higher education.[2]

This paper narrates the forecasting through Fuzzy Delphi Method concerning the increase rate of higher education enrollments and decrease rate of drop outs by the starting of the year 2015.

## II. PreLiminaries

Fuzzy Delphi Method refers to a method of generalization of frequently used techniques for extended range forecasting. The extended range forecasting problems are like uncertain

[^0]in nature; but hardly probabilistic. [3], [4] generally the responses or decisions provided by the experts are subjective in nature and based on their individual potentials. In Delphi Method, this is the key motive to make the decisions or responses represented through fuzzy numbers rather than crisp.

The Fuzzy Delphi Method (FDM) is applied here to adjust the evaluation of each expert to achieve the consensus condition of all experts consistent.[5] Through the following steps the Fuzzy Delphi Method is applied in this paper.

## Step1:

We have considered the experts $E_{i}$ provide the possible realization rating on forecasting of several contexts. The responses given by each and every expert are represented in the form of triangular fuzzy numbers i.e. TFN like:

$$
A^{(i)}=\left(a_{1}{ }^{(i)}, a_{M}{ }^{(i)}, a_{2}^{(i)}\right), i=1,2,3,4 \ldots \ldots ., n
$$

Step 2:
Average i.e. mean $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of every $A^{(i)}$ is calculated, where average of all $a_{1}{ }^{(i)}, a_{M}{ }^{(i)}, a_{2}{ }^{(i)}$ needs to get computed.
$A_{m}=\left(m_{1}, m_{M}, m_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{1}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{M}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right)$
For each and every expert $E_{i}$, the differences are calculated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{1}-a_{1}{ }^{(i)}, m_{M}-a_{M}{ }^{(i)}, m_{2}-a_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right)= \\
& \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{1}{ }^{(i)}-a_{1}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}{a_{M}}^{(i)}-a_{M}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2}^{(i)}-a_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the distances of $A^{(i)}$ from $A_{m}$ are calculated through:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(A^{(i)}, A_{m}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\max \left(\left|m_{1}-a_{1}{ }^{(i)}\right|,\left|m_{2}-a_{2}^{(i)}\right|\right)+\left|m_{M}-a_{M}{ }^{(i)}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The obtained resultant values are sent to the experts again for re-evaluation and assessment.
Step 3:
After re-evaluation and assessment each expert $E_{i}$ provides revised value in the form of a triangular fuzzy
number $B^{(i)}=\left(b_{1}{ }^{(i)}, b_{M}{ }^{(i)}, b_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right), i=1,2,3,4 \ldots \ldots . ., n$
This process starting with Step2 is repeated. The average and differences are calculated like:
$\left(m_{1}-b_{1}{ }^{(i)}, m_{M}-b_{M}{ }^{(i)}, m_{2}-b_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right)=$
$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{1}{ }^{(i)}-b_{1}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{M}{ }^{(i)}-b_{M}{ }^{(i)}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{2}{ }^{(i)}-b_{2}{ }^{(i)}\right)$

Now, the distances of $B^{(i)}$ from $B_{m}$ are calculated through:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(B^{(i)}, B_{m}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\max \left(\left|m_{1}-b_{1}^{(i)}\right|,\left|m_{2}-b_{2}^{(i)}\right|\right)+\left|m_{M}-b_{M}^{(i)}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

And, the process could be repeated again and again until two successive means $A_{m}, B_{m}, \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. become reasonably close.

## Step 4:

The similar procedure may be applied for reexamining or reassessing points of rating, if some important points arise due to various new circumstances.

## III. Methodologies and Results

In accordance with the year wise view (starting from 2004 to 2010) of enrollments and drop out percentages in West Bengal [6] it is seen in Fig. 1 that enrollments in higher education in West Bengal is always less than $50 \%$ of the total enrollments in secondary education and the range of drop outs in higher education is like $51.457 \%$ to $57.922 \%$.


Fig. 1. Year wise enrollments in secondary education and higher education and evaluation of drop outs

From the experts of higher education ministry of West Bengal along with the headmasters and headmistresses of Bengali medium government schools a common survey questionnaire was canvassed and response data were collected concerning the forecasting of enhancements regarding enrollments of students in traditional system of learning and e-learning both. Besides the decrease of dropout rates are also asked to forecast by the starting of the year 2015.

The responses, we collected, from the experts are in the form of Triangular Fuzzy Number form where for a specific question three possible values were asked:
[For questions of enrollments only]
Lowest Enrollments
Most likely Enrollments
Highest Enrollments
And, [For questions of drop outs only]
Lowest Drop outs
Most likely Drop outs
Highest Drop outs

## A. Fuzzy Delphi Approach in favor of traditional systems of higher education in West Bengal

Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know increase of enrollments in traditional systems of learning in higher education by the starting of the year 2015 [in first stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]
table I: Fuzzy Responses for First Stage To Know Increase of Enrollments in Traditional Systems in West Bengal By The Starting of The Year 2015


Now in first stage the distances of each and every expert's responses from the average are calculated in TABLE II.

TABLE II: DISTANCES OF EACH AND EvERY EXPERT'S RESPONSES FROM the Average $A_{M}$

| Distances of each expert's opinion from the average$A_{m}=\left(m_{1}, m_{M}, m_{2}\right)=(10.15,14.95,19.7)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experts <br> $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \quad m_{1} \\ & a_{1}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -m_{M} \\ a_{M}^{(i)} \end{gathered}$ | $-\quad m_{2}$ | $-\mathrm{d}\left(A^{(i)}-A_{m}\right.$ |


| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 3.15 | 2.95 | 4.7 | $\mathbf{3 . 8 2 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 0.15 | -0.05 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 0.15 | 2.95 | 3.7 | $\mathbf{3 . 3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 2.15 | 4.95 | 7.7 | $\mathbf{6 . 3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | -4.85 | -5.05 | -5.3 | $\mathbf{5 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 0.15 | -0.05 | -0.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 3.15 | 3.95 | 5.7 | $\mathbf{4 . 8 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 1.15 | -0.05 | -5.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | 0.15 | 2.95 | 5.7 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 0.15 | -0.05 | -0.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 3.15 | 3.95 | 3.7 | $\mathbf{3 . 8 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | -4.85 | -5.05 | -5.3 | $\mathbf{5 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | -1.85 | -3.05 | -3.3 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 0.15 | -0.05 | -5.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 2.15 | -1.05 | -0.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | -4.85 | -5.05 | -6.3 | $\mathbf{5 . 6 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | 0.15 | 1.95 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 3.15 | -0.05 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -3.85 | -4.05 | -4.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 1 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 1.15 | -0.05 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |

By plotting the values of $d$ in first stage we have the representation like:


Fig. 2. Graphical representation of distances between $A^{(i)}$ to $A_{m}$
Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know increase of enrollments in higher education in traditional systems of learning by the starting of the year 2015 [in second stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]

TABLE III: Fuzzy Responses for Second Stage to Know Increase of Enrollments in Traditional Systems In West Bengal By the Starting of The Year 2015

|  |  | $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -1.65 | 0.6 | -4.55 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 7 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experts | Initial values (in percentage) given by | $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 1.35 | -1.4 | 0.45 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 7 5}$ |


| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $b_{1}^{(i)}$ | $b_{M}^{(i)}$ | $b_{2}^{(i)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 9 | 13 | 17 | re |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 10 | 15 | 20 | 14 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 10 | 13 | 20 | sa |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 8 | 13 | 18 | q |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 12 | 17 | 20 | of |

By plotting the values of $d$ in second stage we have the representation like:
Here, $B_{m}=(10.35,14.6,19.45)$ whereas $\mathrm{Am}=(10.15$, $14.95,19.7$ ). So, $B_{m}$ is very close to $A_{m}$. So, this result meets satisfactory in terms of acceptance. So, the iteration of same questions to same respondents is stopped now and the values of $B_{m}$ is accepted.


Fig. 3. Graphical representation of distances between $B^{(i)}$ to $B_{m}$


Fig. 4. Pictorial representation of the obtained triangular fuzzy responses.
Now for de-fuzzification we will consider the formula:

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{1}^{(i)} / 20+4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{M}^{(i)} / 20\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{2}^{(i)} / 20\right\} / 6
$$

And it results: $\left\{10.35+4^{*}(14.6)+19.45\right\} / 6=14.7$
So, from the above evaluations and calculations [Ref: TableI, Table II, Table III, Table IV, Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4] it can be forecasted that the increase of enrollments of higher education through traditional systems of learning of West Bengal will increase $14.7 \%$ by the starting of the year 2015.

## B. Fuzzy Delphi Approach in favor of e-learning based higher education in West Bengal

Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know increase of enrollments in e-learning based systems of higher education by the starting of the year 2015 [in first stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]

TABLE V: Fuzzy Responses for First Stage to Know Increase of Enrollments In E-Learning Based Systems of Higher Education in West Bengal By The Starting of The Year 2015

|  | Initial values(in percentage) given by experts <br> (first time responses) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experts $a_{1}^{\text {(i) }}$ $a_{M}^{\text {(i) }}$ $a_{2}^{\text {(i) }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 13 | 20 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 11 | 15 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 17 | 22 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 15 | 20 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | 15 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 13 | 18 | 22 |


| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | 15 | 19 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 12 | 17 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 11 | 15 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | 19 | 22 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 12 | 17 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 12 | 17 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | 19 | 25 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | 14 | 20 | 23 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 11 | 19 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | 15 | 25 | 29 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 15 | 25 | 30 |
| Average | 13.45 | 18.8 | 23.35 |

$\underline{\left.\underline{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(m_{1},\right.} m_{M}, m_{2}\right)=(13.45,18.8,23.35)}$
Now in first stage the distances of each and every expert's responses from the average are calculated in TABLE VI.

TABLE VI: Distances of Each And Every Expert’S Responses From The Average $A_{M}$

| Distances of each expert's opinion from the average$m_{1}=\left(m_{M}, m_{2}\right)=(13.45,18.8,23.35)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experts $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ | $m_{1_{-}} a_{1}^{(i)}$ | $m_{M_{-}} a_{M}^{(i)}$ | $m_{2} a_{2}^{(i)}$ | $A^{(i)}-A_{m}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 3.45 | 3.8 | 3.35 | 3.625 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 0.45 | -1.2 | 1.35 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 2.45 | 3.8 | 3.35 | 3.575 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 4.45 | 8.8 | 4.35 | 6.625 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | -3.55 | -3.2 | -4.65 | 3.925 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | -1.55 | -1.2 | 1.35 | 1.375 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 2.45 | 3.8 | 3.35 | 3.575 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 0.45 | 0.8 | 1.35 | 1.075 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | -1.55 | -0.2 | -1.65 | 0.925 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 1.45 | 1.8 | 2.35 | 2.075 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 2.45 | 3.8 | 1.35 | 3.125 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | -5.55 | -3.2 | -1.65 | 4.375 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | -1.55 | -1.2 | -1.65 | 1.425 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 1.45 | 1.8 | 3.35 | 2.575 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 1.45 | 1.8 | 1.35 | 1.625 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | -5.55 | -6.2 | -4.65 | 5.875 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | -0.55 | -1.2 | 0.35 | 0.875 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 2.45 | -0.2 | -0.65 | 1.325 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -1.55 | -6.2 | -5.65 | 5.925 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | -1.55 | -6.2 | -6.65 | 6.425 |

By plotting the values of $d$ in first stage we have the representation like:


Fig. 5: Graphical representation of distances between $\mathrm{A}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ to $A_{m}$

Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know increase of enrollments in e-learning based systems of higher education in West Bengal by the starting of the year 2015 [in second stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]

TABLE VII: Fuzzy Responses for Second Stage to Know Increase of Enrollments In Higher Education in E-Learning Based Systems in West Bengal By The Starting of The Year 2015

| Experts | Initial values (in percentage) given by experts (second time responses) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ | $b_{1}^{(i)}$ | $b_{M}^{(i)}$ | $b_{2}^{(i)}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 17 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 12 | 18 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 13 | 15 | 23 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 12 | 16 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 15 | 22 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 13 | 18 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 13 | 17 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 15 | 18 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | 14 | 21 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 11 | 16 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 10 | 17 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | 17 | 21 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | 16 | 22 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 13 | 17 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 14 | 18 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | 17 | 23 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | 15 | 22 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 12 | 20 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | 14 | 20 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 17 | 21 | 26 |
| Average | 13.75 | 18.95 | 23.65 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(m_{1}, m_{M}, m_{2}\right)=(13.75,18.95,23.65)$ |  |  |  |

Now in second stage the distances of each and every expert's responses from the average are calculated in TABLE VIII.

TABLE VIII: Distances of Each and Every Expert'S Responses From the Average $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{M}}$ (IN Second Stage)

| Distances of each expert's opinion from the average <br> $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{m}}=\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{M}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)=(\mathbf{1 3 . 7 5}, \mathbf{1 8 . 9 5 , 2 3 . 6 5 )}$ <br> ${\text { Experts } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{l}$ <br> $m_{\mathbf{1}}-b_{1}^{(i)}$$m_{\boldsymbol{M}-} b_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{(i)}$ | $m_{2}-b_{2}^{(i)}$ | $\mathrm{d}\left(B^{(i)}-B_{m}\right)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 1.75 | 1.95 | 2.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 1.75 | 0.95 | 3.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 0.75 | 3.95 | 0.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 1.75 | 2.95 | 1.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | -1.25 | -3.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 0.75 | 0.95 | 3.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 0.75 | 1.95 | 2.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | -1.25 | 0.95 | 3.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | -0.25 | -2.05 | -3.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 2.75 | 2.95 | 1.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 8 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 3.75 | 1.95 | 3.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 8 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | -3.25 | -2.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | -2.25 | -3.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |


| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 0.75 | 1.95 | 2.65 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | -0.25 | 0.95 | -3.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | -3.25 | -4.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | -1.25 | -3.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 1.75 | -1.05 | -3.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -0.25 | -1.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | -3.25 | -2.05 | -2.35 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ |

By plotting the values of d in second stage we have the representation like:


Fig. 6. Graphical representation of distances between $B^{(i)}$ to $B_{m}$
Here, $B_{m}=(13.75,18.95,23.65)$ whereas $\mathrm{Am}=(13.45$, $18.8,23.35)$. So, $B_{m}$ is very close to $A_{m}$. So, this result meets satisfactory in terms of acceptance. So, the iteration of same questions to same respondents is stopped now and the values of $B_{m}$ is accepted.


Fig. 7. Pictorial representation of the obtained triangular fuzzy responses.
Now for de-fuzzification we will consider the formula:

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{1}^{(i)} / 20+4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{M}^{(i)} / 20\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{2}^{(i)} / 20\right\} / 6
$$

And it results: $\{13.75+4 *(18.95)+23.65\} / 6=18.866$
So, from the above evaluations and calculations [Ref: TABLE V, TABLE VI, TABLE VII, TABLE VIII, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7 it can be forecasted that the increase of enrollments of higher education through e-learning systems of West Bengal will increase $18.866 \%$ by the starting of the year 2015.

## C. Fuzzy Delphi Approach to calculate the decrease of dropouts in higher education in West Bengal

Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know decrease of drop outs in higher education through e-learning systems by the starting of the year 2015 [in first stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]

TABLE IX: FuZzy Responses for First Stage to Know Decrease of Drop Outs in Higher Education Through E-Learning in West Bengal By The Starting of The Year 2015

| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | -1.85 | -1.95 | -1.85 | 1.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -0.85 | -0.95 | -0.85 | 0.9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 0.15 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 0.6 |



| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | 4 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 6 | 9 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Average | 3.15 | 6.05 | 8.15 |
|  |  |  |  |

$\left.\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}=m_{1}, m_{M,} m_{2}\right)=(3.15,6.05,8.15)$
Now in first stage the distances of each and every expert's responses from the average are calculated in TABLE X.

TABLE X: DISTANCES of Each and Every Expert'S Responses From The Average $A_{m}$


TABLE XI: FuZzy Responses for Second Stage to Know Decrease of Drop Outs in Higher Education Through E-Learning Systems in West Bengal By the Starting of The Year 2015

| Experts | Initial values (in percentage) given by experts (second time responses) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ | $b_{1}^{(i)}$ | $b_{M}^{(i)}$ | $b_{2}^{(i)}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 3 | 6 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| E9 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 3 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | 4 | 8 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | 4 | 6 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | 4 | 9 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Average | 3.25 | 6.1 | 8.45 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}=m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ ) | 1, 8.4 |  |

Now in second stage the distances of each and every expert's responses from the average are calculated in TABLE XII.

TABLE XII: Distances of Each and Every Expert’S Responses From The Average $B_{M}$ (IN Second Stage)

| Distances of each expert's opinion from the average$B_{B_{m}}=\left(m_{1}, m_{M} m_{2}\right)=(3.25,6.1,8.45)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experts <br> $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{1} \\ & b_{1}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{M} \\ b_{M}^{(i)} \end{gathered}$ | $m_{2_{-}} b_{2}^{(i)}$ | $\left.\mathrm{d} B^{(i)} B_{m}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 1.25 | 2.1 | 1.45 | 1.8 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 1.25 | 1.1 | 1.45 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ | 1.25 | 1.1 | 2.45 | 1.775 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ | 0.25 | 1.1 | 1.45 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | 0.25 | 0.1 | -2.55 | 1.325 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1.25 | 1.1 | 1.45 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | -0.75 | 1.1 | 1.45 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 1.25 | -0.9 | -1.55 | 1.225 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{9}$ | 1.25 | 0.1 | 1.45 | 0.775 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{10}$ | 0.25 | 1.1 | 1.45 | 1.275 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{11}$ | 0.25 | -1.9 | -1.55 | 1.725 |


| $\mathrm{E}_{12}$ | -1.75 | -1.9 | -1.55 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 2 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}_{13}$ | 1.25 | 2.1 | 1.45 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | -1.75 | -0.9 | 0.45 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2 7 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{15}$ | -0.75 | -1.9 | -0.55 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{16}$ | -1.75 | -0.9 | -2.55 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ | -0.75 | 0.1 | -2.55 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{18}$ | -1.75 | -1.9 | -1.55 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{19}$ | -0.75 | -2.9 | -1.55 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{20}$ | 0.25 | 2.1 | 1.45 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 7 5}$ |

By plotting the values of $d$ in first stage we have the representation like:


Fig. 8. Graphical representation of distances between $A^{(i)}$ to $A_{m}$
Responses in Triangular Fuzzy Number Form to know decrease of drop outs in higher education through e-learning systems in West Bengal by the starting of the year 2015 [in second stage of Fuzzy Delphi Method]

By plotting the values of $d$ in second stage we have the representation like:


Fig. 9. Graphical representation of distances between $B^{(i)}$ to $B_{m}$
Here, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}=(3.25,6.1,8.45)$ whereas $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}=(3.15,6.05$, 8.15). So, $B_{m}$ is very close to $A_{m}$. So, this result meets satisfactory in terms of acceptance. So, the iteration of same questions to same respondents is stopped now and the values of $B_{m}$ is accepted.


Fig. 10. Pictorial representation of the obtained triangular fuzzy responses.
Now for de-fuzzification we will consider the formula:

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{1}^{(i)} / 20+4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{M}^{(i)} / 20\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{20} b_{2}^{(i)} / 20\right\} / 6
$$

And it results: $\left\{3.25+4^{*}(6.1)+8.45\right\} / 6=6.016$
So, from the above evaluations and calculations [Ref: Table IX, Table X, Table XI, Table XII, Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Fig. 10] it can be forecasted that the drop outs of higher education through e-learning systems of West Bengal will decrease $6.016 \%$ by the starting of the year 2015.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Following the detailed analysis of forecasting through Fuzzy Delphi Method, it can be concluded that by the starting of the year 2015, the increase of enrollments of higher education through traditional systems of learning of West Bengal will increase $14.7 \%$ whereas increase of enrollments of higher education through e-learning systems of West Bengal will increase $18.866 \%$ and the drop outs of higher education through e-learning systems of West Bengal will decrease $6.016 \%$. So, the gain of enrollments through e-learning will be $4.166 \%$ and also the gain in decreasing drop outs will be $6.016 \%$, which leads to increase the overall GER of higher education in West Bengal by 2015.
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